UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,052	06/05/2007	Jianjun Cui	LED-003	7244
26868 Hasse & Nesbit	7590 11/01/201 t LLC	EXAMINER		
8837 Chapel Sq		DEMILLE, DANTON D		
Suite C CINCINNATI,	ОН 45249	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,052	CUI, JIANJUN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Danton DeMille	3771	

	Danion Demine	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi aal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 ( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			·
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed ame <b>n</b> dmer	nt canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider because:  See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	(Donton D-MIII-)		
	/Danton DeMille/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3771	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues include modifying the location of the blowhole from the wall to the sidewall further limits the scope of the claim which resuires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the blowhole of Hasegawa is in the end of the tube and not in a wall thereof. The blowhole is in the end wall in the end of the tube. The tube has an end surface that has a hole in it. The tube could be formed with an end wall and the hole was formed out of the end wall. This is merely a method step of forming a hole. The blowhole of Hasegawa is in a tube that extends from the suction head just as does applicant's.

Applicant argues that Hasegawa's method is done for a contact lens. While Hasegawa teaches shaping the cornea is for applying a contact lens, the method reshapes the cornea "so that rays from a far point or from a near point are properly focused on the retina 4", paragraph 47. So the method includes correcting myopia because the cornea is shaped "so that rays from a far point ...are properly focused on the retina."